



HURON BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OPERATIONAL POLICY

Policy #: RR.1.15
Issue Date: 05/07/08
Rev. Date: 12/01/21
Page: 1 of 6

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

NOTE: This Document Copy is **Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022.** For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\ drive

Purpose:

To define the guidelines for guardianship and the alternatives to guardianship.

Scope:

This policy applies to all employees (including full-time and part-time employees), contract providers, volunteers, students, and/or interns, at Huron Behavioral Health (HBH).

Information:

HBH and its contract providers shall endeavor to preserve the constitutional right of an individual to be self-determined and autonomous in his/her decision-making. A provider shall not petition for the appointment of a guardian (plenary/full, partial/limited, temporary, or emergency) for an adult consumer or for the appointment of a conservator (full or limited) for the estate of an adult consumer except after a documented assessment and determination that a consumer's comprehension and capacity to make informed decisions is in substantial doubt and that alternatives to guardianship and/or conservatorship have been explored and ruled out in accordance with this policy.

Definitions:

Conservator – is a person that is appointed by the court to handle investments and other assets of an individual who cannot effectively manage them.

Durable Power of Attorney (DPOA) – A type of Power of Attorney for financial or legal affairs that begins only when the person has been determined to be incapacitated.

Full Guardianship – authority to make all decisions about person, i.e. living arrangements, medical treatment, legal matters, etc.

Guardian Ad Litem – Is a person appointed by the court to look out for the best interests of the person during the course of legal proceedings.

Informed Consent – This is a written agreement signed by the consumer, the parent of a minor, or legally empowered guardian, to give consent, which assumes and requires competence, knowledge, and voluntariness.

Limited/Partial Guardianship – authority to make only those decisions which a person is unable to make, i.e. medical treatment, living arrangements, arrange for the purchase of food, etc.

Limited Guardian of a Minor - A guardian appointed under MCL (Michigan Compiled Laws) 700.5202. Limited also implies consent because no limited guardianship can be implemented without the consent of the custodial parents, the proposed guardian, and the court.

Patient Advocate – This is an individual designated to exercise powers concerning another individual's care, custody, and medical or mental health treatment, or authorized to make an anatomical gift on behalf of another individual.

Payee – A representative payee is an individual or organization that receives Social Security and/or SSI payments for someone who cannot manage or direct the management of his/her money.

Peer Support Specialist – A Peer Support Specialist (PSS) is a person with a mental illness who has been trained to help his/her peers (other consumers with a mental illness) to identify and achieve specific life goals. The PSS cultivates the abilities of those they assist to make informed, independent choices, set goals, and gain information and support from the community to achieve their goals.

Power of Attorney – A legal document by which an individual grants specified powers that are effective immediately or at a specified time to a trusted person who acts as the individual's agent for financial or legal affairs.

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

Procedure #: RR.1.15

Issue Date: 05/07/08

Rev. Date: 12/01/21

Page: 2 of 6

NOTE: This Document Copy is **Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022**. For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\drive

Reasonable Person Test - standard for determining whether statement is testimonial hearsay. The idea of a reasonable person test can be applied on the basis of an intelligent non-expert person, that is a reasonable person, being put in a position able to consider the evidence or facts that might have been available at a place or time. The test becomes: what would a reasonable person do under these circumstances, given the evidence or being exposed to a particular situation. (resource: <http://www.argospress.com/Resources/risk-management/reasonperson.htm>).

Policy:

1. It is the policy of Huron Behavioral Health (HBH) to preserve the basic human rights and civil rights of every individual receiving treatment, services, and supports. As such, each individual is presumed legally competent unless the court has appointed a guardian. In the case of individuals who have cognitive disabilities, the right to be deemed competent remains unless a guardian has been appointed.
2. When a question arises as to whether an individual is able to consent to supports, services, and treatment which has been determined to be medically necessary and developed through the person-centered planning process, all supports to assist them should be available so that court-appointed guardianship (which restricts their ability to make their own decisions and choices), is the last resort. As available and appropriate, HBH endorses the least restrictive alternatives to court-appointed guardianship.

Standards:**A. Presumption of Legal Competency:**

1. An adult consumer age eighteen (18) years of age or older and a minor consumer (when state law allows consent by a minor) shall be presumed to have the capacity to make informed decisions and, therefore, to be considered competent.
2. Receiving mental health services does not constitute a determination or adjudication that the individual is incompetent.
3. A determination that an individual meets the criteria of a person requiring treatment or for judicial admission, or any form of admission to a facility including by judicial order, does not constitute a determination or adjudication that the individual is incompetent.
4. The presumption that an individual is competent may be rebutted only by court-appointment of a guardian or exercise by a court of guardianship powers.
5. A provider shall also presume a consumer with a limited guardianship is legally competent in all areas which are not specifically identified as being under the control or scope of the guardianship.

B. Provision of Information Pertaining to Guardianship and Alternatives to Guardianship:

In accordance with Section 474 of the Michigan Appropriations Act of 2006, HBH and its contract providers shall provide each consumer and his/her family with information regarding the different types of guardianship and the alternatives to guardianship. Providers shall not, in any manner, attempt to reduce or restrict the ability of a consumer or his/her family from seeking to obtain any form of legal guardianship without just cause.

C. Exploring Alternatives to Guardianship:

When questions arise as to whether an individual is able to provide informed consent for treatment, the supports chosen, and/or recommended in the person-centered planning process, providers shall first explore a consumer's interest in and his/her ability to benefit from a variety of planned means to preserve the consumers autonomy. Education and planning shall occur with the consumer and his/her natural supports regarding alternatives to guardianship in order to avoid the loss of self-determination which generally occurs with the appointment of a guardianship or conservatorship. (See also "[Alternatives to Guardianship Form](#)" 90-422).

D. Evaluating Comprehension and Supervisory Review:

1. A provider shall not initiate, nor endorse guardianship or conservatorship proceedings for a consumer unless there is sufficient reason to substantially doubt the consumers comprehension as determined by both of the following:

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

Procedure #: RR.1.15

Issue Date: 05/07/08

Rev. Date: 12/01/21

Page: 3 of 6

NOTE: This Document Copy is Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022. For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\drive

- a. A documented assessment of the consumer's capacity to make and communicate informed decisions based on a reasonable person test. This may be supplemented with a comprehensive evaluation by a licensed or limited licensed psychologist trained in the assessment of intellectual and adaptive functioning, and
 - b. A documented determination that alternatives to guardianship have been explored with the consumer but would be insufficient to meet the consumers basic need for protection or safety.
2. A provider shall not petition for guardianship or conservatorship without first consulting with his/her clinical supervisor who shall review the consumer's case record and provide the clinical guidance regarding consideration for guardianship or conservatorship.
 3. Except in emergency situations where the life of the consumer may be in immediate jeopardy or eminent danger, the clinical supervisor shall determine if guardianship or conservatorship may be warranted, and convene a meeting of the HBH Guardianship Review Board. The Guardianship Review Board will thoroughly evaluate the proposed reasons and conclusions that a consumer may lack the capacity to give or refuse informed consent. The Guardianship Review Board shall conduct its proceedings and make a determination in accordance with the "Procedures" section of this policy (below).

E. Provider Action Subsequent to Guardianship Review Board Report:

1. If the Guardianship Review Board concludes that informed consent is absent either because a person has not been made sufficiently aware of the procedures, risks, ramifications, benefits, or alternatives, or because a decision is not voluntary as is required for an informed consent, the provider shall give the consumer the necessary information or, when possible, an opportunity for voluntary choice.
2. If the Guardianship Review Board concludes that a person can give or already has given informed consent or has the capacity to give informed consent but has refused to do so, the provider shall discuss the decision and further treatment options with the consumer.
3. If the Guardianship Review Board recommends further mental, social, or educational evaluations that may be necessary to ascertain the capacity of the consumer to give informed consent or the exploration of alternatives to guardianship, the provider shall set up the appropriate assessments/evaluations, and, if recommended or indicated, return the results of the assessment and any additional plans to the Guardianship Review Board for further consideration.
4. If the Guardianship Review Board concludes that the consumer's comprehension is still in doubt, that alternatives are not viable, and that the services of a guardian or conservator are necessary to protect the well-being of the consumer, with recommendations regarding the type, scope, and duration of guardianship or conservatorship, a provider may file a petition with the appropriate Probate Court for consideration of this determination consistent with the limitations and obligations listed below.

F. Provider Obligations Regarding Guardianship or Conservatorship Proceedings:

1. A provider shall not petition for guardianship or conservatorship unless there is no other capable and willing alternative petitioner, such as a family member. This information must be documented in the consumer's case record.
2. A provider shall not petition for, otherwise cause the filing of, nor endorse a petition for guardianship or conservatorship of greater scope or duration than is essential for the safety and well-being of the individual.
3. The justification for petitioning the probate court for consideration of guardianship or conservatorship shall be clearly documented in the consumer's case record using the following guidelines:
 - a. For a person other than with an intellectual/developmental disability, the Michigan Estates and Protected Individuals Code (MCL 700.5306) provides that the court may only order guardianship if it finds both that:
 - i. The person is legally incapacitated, which means pursuant to MCL 700.1105, "an individual who is impaired by reason of mental illness, mental deficiency, physical illness or disability, chronic use of drugs, chronic intoxication, or other cause, not including minority, to the extent of lacking sufficient understanding or capacity to make or communicate informed decisions"; and

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

Procedure #: RR.1.15

Issue Date: 05/07/08

Rev. Date: 12/01/21

Page: 4 of 6

NOTE: This Document Copy is **Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022**. For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\drive

- ii. "The appointment of a guardian is necessary as a means of providing continuing care and supervision of the person, with each finding supported separately on the record".

Additionally, MCL 700.5305 requires that the guardian ad litem appointed for the recipient must inform the court whether there are one or more appropriate alternatives to the appointment of a guardian. This statute also requires the court to design the guardianship to encourage the development of maximum self reliance.

If the court determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that guardianship is warranted it may order an Emergency, Temporary, Limited, or Full guardian who is granted decisional authority regarding the individual's psychiatric care, medical care, legal affairs, financial affairs, and/or housing, in whole or in part and for a specified duration.

- b. For individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities, the Michigan Mental Health Code (MCL 330.1602) provides that guardianship shall:
 - Be utilized only as is necessary to promote and protect the well-being of the individual, including protection from neglect, exploitation, and abuse;
 - Take into account the individual's abilities;
 - Be designed to encourage the development of maximum self-reliance and independence in the individual; and
 - Be ordered only to the extent necessitated by the individual's actual mental and adaptive limitations.

If the court determines, by clear and convincing evidence that guardianship is warranted it may order an Emergency, Temporary, Partial, or Plenary Guardian who is granted decisional authority regarding the individual's psychiatric care, medical care, legal affairs, financial affairs, and/or housing, in whole or in part and for a specified duration.

G. Provider Obligation to Review Continued Necessity of Guardianship:

1. A provider shall periodically, but at a minimum no less than annually, assess the capacity of a consumer who has an appointed guardian or conservator to make informed decisions. This assessment shall be documented in the consumer's case record. (See also "[Request for Guardianship or Continuation of Guardianship Form](#)" 90-421.)
2. If the recipient demonstrates that he or she is capable of giving informed consent, the provider shall, in a timely manner, petition or cause a petition to be filed with the court to terminate a consumer's guardian or conservator or narrow the scope of the guardian's or conservator's powers.
3. Alternatives to guardianship previously rejected shall be explored again and offered to the consumer.

H. Prohibition of Provider as Consumers Agent:

1. HBH staff shall not accept appointment to serve in the capacity of Guardian, Conservator, Designated Patient Advocate for Mental Health Decisions, or Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care Decisions for any person served by HBH.

Procedures:**Guardianship Review Board Proceedings:**

1. Within ten (10) business days of a referral from a clinical supervisor after his/her review of a request to consider the capacity of a consumer to give informed consent, the Guardianship Review Board shall be convened, comprised of at least the following members:
 - a. One mental health professional (typically the primary worker) with prior clinical contact with the person whose ability to give informed consent is at issue. This mental health professional shall not have voting rights regarding the decision by the Guardianship Review Board as to whether guardianship proceedings should or should not be initiated, and

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

Procedure #: RR.1.15

Issue Date: 05/07/08

Rev. Date: 12/01/21

Page: 5 of 6

NOTE: This Document Copy is **Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022**. For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\drive

- b. Two (2) other mental health professionals of different disciplines, one (1) of whom shall be a licensed psychologist, with appropriate clinical experience or training and one who has had no prior contact with the consumer, and
- c. The Recipient Rights Officer or his/her designee as a consultant, but non-voting member
Note: The Guardianship Review Board may also include any of the following:
 - o Medical Professional (upon the request of the Guardianship Review Board)
 - o Legal Counsel (as requested by the Guardianship Review Board) as a consultant, but non-voting member
 - o Peer Support Specialist (if requested by the consumer)
 - o Any other persons the consumer would like invited, (e.g., friend or family member)
2. The Guardianship Review Board shall review and evaluate the proposed reasons and conclusions that a consumer may lack the capacity to give or may refuse to give informed consent in light of the consumer's available clinical records, especially assessments, person-centered planning documentation, and previous consideration of alternatives to guardianship. The Guardianship Review Board shall also receive and evaluate the input of the consumer and/or his/her natural supports, with special consideration given to the consumer's abilities, preferences, and choices.
3. The Guardianship Review Board may conclude any of the following:
 - a. That informed consent is absent either because the consumer has not been made sufficiently aware of the procedures, risks, ramifications, benefits, or alternatives or because a decision is not voluntary as required for an informed consent.
 - b. That the consumer can give or already has given informed consent or has the capacity to give informed consent but has refused to do so.
 - c. That further mental, social, or educational evaluations are deemed necessary to ascertain the capacity of the consumer to give informed consent or that further exploration of alternatives to guardianship are warranted.
 - d. That the consumer's comprehension is still in doubt, that alternatives are not viable, and that the protective services of a guardian or conservator are necessary to protect the well being of the consumer, with recommendations regarding the type, scope, and duration of guardianship or conservatorship.
4. The Guardianship Review Board shall submit a written report to the consumer's primary worker, stating the board's finding of fact, a conclusion whether the consumer's consent or refusal is or can be informed, and the board's recommendations. The report shall be placed in the consumer's case record. (See also "[Guardianship Review Board Findings and Recommendations Form](#)" 90-423).

Acronyms:

DPOA – Durable Power of Attorney

HBH – Huron Behavioral Health

MCL – Michigan Compiled Laws

MDHHS – Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

PSS – Peer Support Specialist

RN – Registered Nurse

Forms:

[90-421 Request for Guardianship or Continuation of Guardianship Form](#)

[90-422 Alternatives to Guardianship Form](#)

[90-423 Guardianship Review Board Findings & Recommendations Form](#)

Title: Guardianship and Alternatives Policy

Prepared By: Recipient Rights Officer

Procedure #: RR.1.15

Issue Date: 05/07/08

Rev. Date: 12/01/21

Page: 6 of 6

NOTE: This Document Copy is **Uncontrolled and Valid on this date only: January 12, 2022**. For Controlled copy, view shared directory I:\drive**Records:**

Records of guardianship and other related legal documents are retained in the consumer's case record in accordance with the [HBH Record Retention and Storage Policy \(QI.1.23\)](#).

Reference(s) and/or Legal Authority

MDHHS Administrative Rules 7003 and 7009

Michigan Mental Health Code, MCL 330.1702 (2)

MCL 700.5305

Michigan Estates and Protected Individuals Code, MCL 700.5306

MCL 700.1105

Estates and Protected individuals Code, MCL 700.5506-5513, as amended; Designation of Patient Advocate

Estates and Protected Individuals Code (MCL 700.2501)

Michigan Do-Not-Resuscitate Procedure Act, PA 193 of 1996

[QI.1.23 HBH Record Retention & Storage Policy](#)**Change History:**

Change Letter	Date of Change(s)	Changes
A	04/02/13	Reviewed and revised to comply with 8 th edition COA standards – changed “guardian” to “guardianship” (2 places) in “Purpose” section, reformatted document, removed “exhibit” language and added correct form numbers (90-421, 90-422, & 90-423) throughout document and in “Form” section.
B	05/18/16	In “Acronyms” section added “RN”, “PSS” and changed “MDCH” to “MDHHS”, changed “development disability” to “intellectual/developmental disability” (2 places), made numerous small grammatical/wording changes/corrections throughout document without changing sentence content.
C	03/20/18	Changed “Informed Consent Review Board” to “Guardianship Review Board” throughout document (16 places), in “Procedures” section D.1.a added “or limited licensed” and changed “evaluation comprehension” to “the assessment of intellectual and adaptive functioning”, in “Procedures” 1 rearranged participants to clarify members vs. additional resources, made numerous minor wording/grammatical changes/corrections throughout document without changing sentence content.
D	01/28/20	Made numerous minor wording/grammatical changes/corrections throughout document without changing sentence content. See Controlled Documentation Manager for changes or previous versions of this policy.
E	12/01/21	Made several minor wording/grammatical changes/corrections throughout policy without changing sentence content.